

1
2
3
4
5
6
7

IN THE UNITED STATES DISTRICT COURT
FOR THE NORTHERN DISTRICT OF CALIFORNIA

11 UNITED STATES OF AMERICA, No. CR 12-00119 SI
12 Plaintiff,
13 v.
14 JOSEPH ORTIZ,
15 Defendant.

**ORDER DENYING DEFENDANT'S
MOTION TO COMPEL**

17 On May 4, 2012, the Court held a hearing on defendant's motion to compel. As discussed at
18 the hearing, in light of the filing of the superseding indictment the Court finds that it will be more
19 efficient to set a discovery plan for the case as a whole rather than ordering the production of discovery
20 on a piecemeal basis.

21 Accordingly, the Court DENIES defendant's motion. The Court will address discovery matters
22 at the June 22, 2012 hearing. This order resolves Docket Nos. 12 and 28.

23
24 **IT IS SO ORDERED.**

25
26 Dated: May 7, 2012


27 SUSAN ILLSTON
28 UNITED STATES DISTRICT JUDGE